

IMPERIALIST AGGRESSION AGAINST RUSSIA AND THE WORLD

Since last week, there has been a lot of talk on the Crimean and Donbass affair. And when we say a lot of talk, we don't mean talk between the population on the regions themselves, the population there witnesses the war first hand, and has already made a socio-economic calculation that they should join the Russian nation, not only nationally speaking - since the majority of the population there is Russian - but regarding the question of imperialism too. They have seen already that the imperialists offer nothing, and that if they would keep remaining in the current compradorist Ukraine they would be nothing more than slaves to Anglo-American, French, English, German e.t.c capital. Nothing would remain that join the fates of all eastern European imperialized nations, which is for our men to become the cossacks, servants, mafioso and drug dealers and cheap labour for the labour aristocratic masses of the populations of the imperialist nations and our women to become their prostitutes, concubines, and sexual slaves and playthings. Therefore, the 'lot of talk' does not take place in the regions effected. The 'talk' there has been going on there since a long time ago, but no one bothered aside of populations living there because these aren't humans for imperialism, just numbers in a program.

By the 'lot of talk' we mean the *imperialist seething* on the imperialist nations media about 'Russian aggression in Ukraine'.

We have noticed that young western R-R-Radicals repeat the talking points of the ideological arms of imperialism like parrots, and while we did not get surprised, we felt that the few genuine anti-imperialist anomalies within their intelligentsia out there may want something to read as a breath of fresh air. Here we are, to fulfill this demand that surely exist. But to go back at these R-R-Radicals, the young parasites of the west see Russia and see in it the threat it poses for their carefree parasitic lifestyles, they see that the flow of their cheap supply of women (Or should we say like the liberal R-R-Radical studentry, 'sex workers') their cheap hashish provider, and the cheap labour which would do the labour they are too bored to do put in hold, and *all as one* stand up and call out 'Russian imperialism' and 'occupation' on the holy Ukrainian lands, these holy lands which provide them their holy pleasures! What a blasphemy, this 'right wing conservative oligarchs' of Russia dare to but a small barrier (or at least try putting such a barrier) to the pleasures of the *Civilized* people as Khomchak said, (they do put women dance in glass naked for the whole world to see, *such progress, such Feminism!* One can bet that the 'uncivilized' women of the east and south would only be jealous of this freedom!) and therefore try to impose imperialism to them? After all, *what can this be other than imperialism?* Imperialism is when an oppressed nation *tries to defend itself*. It is not rape if the victim punches back, it is self-defence of the rapist. The victim is the aggressor here!

The imperialists and their flanks - both right and *left* - think under this logic. If not consciously, subconsciously. It is the same bourgeoisie logic when a worker demands an increase in his wage. The bourgeoisie sees this as 'thievery'. If the worker

does not get the demand fulfilled and in his anger goes to openly burn the Bourgeois store or even 'mug' him in the street? The whole society decries this as an open act of banditry and as a sign of our 'decaying' society where the lower classes dare to even lift their head up and threaten this long-established *peace of the classes*.

It is the same bourgeoisie logic en masse this time. If the oppressed dare to lift up the head against imperialism, the accusation of 'imperialism' is thrown at them non-stop. Like the worker who mugs the bourgeoisie (i.e the thief) in the street is decried for 'thievery against a honest and honorable member of the society', the imperialized are getting decried as 'imperialists' by the imperialist themselves (and *their beneficiaries*).

Such is the nature of their logic. Now to move analyzing the events.

"TENSIONS", A PRETEXT OF COMPRADORIST DEMAND FOR FURTHER INTEGRATION IN IMPERIALISM

Taking the events from their original start would need a whole book, and therefore we can't afford this for this small article. But what we can afford is writing about the last few day's events on the Ukrainian-Russian border.

In March 30, the minister of information of the Donetsk People's Republic announced that the Ukrainian government was preparing a new military operation against Donetsk that would begin in May 2. [1] While the minister did not present any hard evidence, the course of events that followed his

statement seem to fall in line with the view that the compradorist regime in Ukraine is preparing a new offensive against the people of Donetsk.

Some few days later, Oleksiy Arestovich, the representative of the Ukrainian delegation to the contact group on the settlement of the conflict in Donbas, said that during the upcoming exercises of NATO led DEFENDER-EUROPE 2021 will work out a simulation of *an armed confrontation with Russia*. And the focus will be on the Crimea and the Balkans. [2] DEFENDER-EUROPE was planned by the imperialists since last year, and it is the biggest imperialist military exercise and gather up in decades. It is clear that the imperialists see that there is an urgent need for new wars and new spoils: *their population are starting to rise up, the pie is shrinking*.

They have tried their utmost to deliver blows to the anti-imperialists, but with no much success. Belarus is still standing, Syria is winning, Ecuador will probably put the Bolivarians in power again and re-join the anti-imperialist camp, and many other imperialist failures and failed attacks against the weakest anti-imperialist countries which had (and have) the aim of 'besieging' the large anti-imperialist ones like China, Russia or Iran.

Russia saw this, and knowing that an offensive was very possible, bolstered defences in the borders. Ukraine called this 'Russian aggression' and 'condemned the Russians for breaking the ceasefire'. Ukrainians say that Russians broke the ceasefire first, Russians say the opposite.

Who says the truth?

The truth can be determined by determining the *side which is to win more than the other* from a renewal of clashes. Russia's objective was mostly to *re-unite the nation*. The Russians of Ukraine are mostly re-united with the rest of the nation since their victories in Crimea, Donetsk and Luhansk. Of course, it is

not a full victory since still many Russian areas remain under the control of the Ukrainian compradorist government, and the fact that the Ukrainian government is a compradorist one, is sufficient to call whatever victory 'incomplete' even if all Russian populations in Ukraine are liberated.

Anyways, Russia has nothing to win from a renewal of conflict. Its objectives in the region are mostly fulfilled, and the situation is already bad for Russia with its most important allies in Europe, Moldova and Belarus being in distress, with the future being uncertain about the first, which recently putted in power compradorist elements. That the imperialist populations of America voted for the most 'imperialistic' out of the two candidates, thus giving a message to the world that America we all 'love and knew since birth' is back from its short 4 year semi-vacation to spread 'freedom' to the un-civilized Hominidae of the resisting world is surely not helping Russia to push any sort of 'Aggression'.

Therefore Russia has nothing to win from a renewal of clashes with Ukraine right now.

On the other hand, *Ukraine is the only one who can win from this renewal of confrontations*. Under international 'law', Crimea and the People's Republics of Donbass 'belong' to Ukraine, and under the same 'law', these areas are 'under occupation by Terrorists'. What this means is that Ukraine, like Azerbaijan back at the winter of 2020, can use the 'occupation' card to launch a war to 'reclaim the occupied territories'. And as we wrote, the imperialists are really begging for a renewal of war. Peaceful means and regular color revolutions dont work for them anymore. They need to pull out the bigger guns, and they need the new war that is being prepared right now in Russia's borders.

On the other hand, the compradorist bourgeoisie of Ukraine know that they can *negotiate* a better place in the cosmopolitan

bourgeoisie camp right now - with this being a further proof of how much the imperialists need the war - and they are therefore pushing the cosmopolitans for more and more. Thus, the Ukrainian bourgeoisie government started playing its cards in April 2. The card they first played was a pair of 3.

First, the Vice Prime Minister of Ukraine for European and Euro-Atlantic Integration, Olha Stefanishyna started making declaration about speeding up Ukraine's EU candidate status. We quote:

Currently, we are just starting a substantive dialogue with the European Commission. My vision is that Ukraine should be granted the EU candidate country status, as well as other countries that are already negotiating accession or have not yet started talks but have clear membership prospects. For example, these are North Macedonia, Serbia, those countries that only intend to become the EU members. Our ambitions set the agenda for the entire region. [3]

Here the representatives of the Ukrainian bourgeoisie ask for the cosmopolitan higher ops to fasten up their further integration to compradorship. Not only that, but to further fasten up the integration of the rest of slavish compradors in the Balkans, and this surely because the Ukrainian bourgeoisie fear that with the COVID failures, the compradors of the Balkans *may not be Compradors forever*. Something to note is the 'ambitions' which 'set the agenda for the entire region'. These bourgeois have an ambition! Do they hope to 'lead' from the nose the rest of the compradors as ones of the *lieutenant of imperialism* in the region? Not only they demand to fasten up integration, but they also give advice to the imperialists on the other regions as well.

But imperialism has two arms; the economic, EU, and the military one, NATO. And here you can see the same thing

being told by Ukraine's president. The president, speaking on the recent 'Russian aggression' with some NATOist diplomats said:

Such actions by the Russian Federation challenge the security of Ukraine and NATO, which must be balanced by joint efforts. [4]

In short, they are again giving advice to the imperialists. 'Ukraine is a central piece, you can't afford to lose it. Bring troops here, and secure our position and we will secure the land for you to plunder.'

Some perfect vassals!

The third and the last card is the appeal to the king. Here is the president of global imperialism, Biden, giving his official blessing to his Ukrainian subjects:

US support for Ukraine will not waver. We affirm the United States' unwavering support for Ukraine's sovereignty and territorial integrity in the face of Russia's ongoing aggression in the Donbas and Crimea. [5]

Within the whole 24 hours of April 2, the Ukrainian bourgeoisie tried to play their cards for more concessions by their masters, and within the same day they ended up with the blessing of their master. What more could they ask? Nothing more than perhaps allow their masters the right of the first night into their wives and daughters to so they could receive the holy seed of humanity and cleanse them of their Hominidae past.

Therefore, we can see that by this renewal of the tension in the borders, the government of Ukraine is able to push the imperialists to further integrate them and speed up their integration to EU and NATO.

In April 3, the two strongest states of the cosmopolitan bourgeoisie camp, France and Germany, released a statement [6] which supposedly called for 'restrain' *only to give the green light* for the Ukrainian government to attack by stating that they "support for the sovereignty and territorial integrity of Ukraine". By telling this (while calling for peace simultaneously) means that they are completely lying. They ask from Russia to do something which would result in the political death of the Russian bourgeoisie, (and the Russian bourgeoisie in government would never do it, especially since this comes from external pressure and not from internal pressure. Crimeans for example obviously want to remain within Russia) and is obvious no government would do so (bourgeoisie or proletarian) without the situation being extremely dire. What they ask from the Russian bourgeoisie is to give up Crimea - and somehow 'stop supporting' the people's republics in Donetsk? - *as if it is on their hand to decide*. Imagine what the mainland Russians and the Crimean Russians which form the majority of Crimea *would think of such a move*. Crimeans would only revolt against both sides, *and the nationalists would immediately abandon United Russia and its allies and join the communist party*. It would be tantamount to basically give the green light to the communists to revolt even if they don't want to. It would be nothing more than a replication of the withdrawal of the ESER government in the face of the Germans which triggered the Bolshevik revolution. No sane bourgeoisie government, imperialist or not, would do this solely based on external pressure. If the Crimean Russians wanted to join back Ukraine would be a different question, since then the government could theoretically fulfil the demand of the Crimean people themselves (National Self-Determination). Of course, no one, including France and Germany, think or

really believe that the Russian government would ever agree to give up Crimea - even so more, without the consent of Crimeans - to the imperialists. They don't believe that. Then one would ask why they mention the territorial integrity of Ukraine according to UN? It is to give a green light to their comprador there. It is because the 'occupation' of Crimea is 'Russian aggression', but Ukraine launching a new war there is a 'war for territorial liberation, ratified by the laws of UN'.

This is the only meaning their statement could possibly have. The cosmopolitan bourgeoisie *not only don't want to tension to de-escalate,, they wish precisely for that escalation to happen*, since they can only win from that escalation.

The next day, on April 4, the Ukrainian government again pushed for NATO integration, with the commander in Chief of the Ukrainian army, Khomchak, in his address to the imperialists on NATO's 72 year celebration, said that NATO membership would not only benefit Ukraine but the whole of NATO. [7] This *would be* obviously true, since giving Ukraine NATO membership would open the green light not only for the government, but for the other imperialist forces to openly take part in an offensive to conquer Crimea and the people's republics. But as we said, it 'would' be true. It is? We will discuss at the end of the article.

THE IDEOLOGICAL ARMS OF IMPERIALISM ARE SEETHING

This is not something new, every day the ideological arms of imperialism make propaganda against their enemies, but these

final weeks the imperialist media and think tanks are seething for further tensions. And we all know that this is nothing more than prelude to prepare their population and to essentially *pass a political line or slogan*. The line they have passed these last few days is the one of 'Russian aggression', 'Russian imperialism', 'Russian occupation', and therefore 'Ukrainian liberation', 'Ukrainian sovereignty', and so on.

This line has already passed, one can see the western intellectuals - of both the 'left' and 'right' - repeat these lines which were literally made in the NATOist and EUnionist ideological laboratory. But of what exactly this seething consists? One can read the *excellent* essay by Frederic Acier [8] 'The Longer Telegram - The Unhinged Dreams Of A Dying Empire' for more information on the plan of the imperialists to fight China and therefore Russia in general, but here we will focus on the specific issue of the Ukrainian-Russian borders. Back in February 16, almost a month and a half before the 'renewal of tensions' in the border, one of the most influential NATOist ideological arms, the Atlantic council - and we will focus a little on the Atlantic council before we proceed to other publications - released an article written by the minister of foreign affairs of Ukraine, Dmytro Kuleba, named 'Why is Ukraine still not in NATO?'. [9] Someone who is informed on what the Ukrainian government represents, would immediately understand what the title of the article serves: as we wrote previously, nothing more than the pleads of the Ukrainian compradors for further imperialist integration, which would also give them the full backup they need in their imagination to invade Russia and the Donetsk people's republics without worrying about Russia invading Ukraine back since this would trigger the other NATO members to 'legitimately' take part in the war, thus turning this war effectively a world war (This is the bright imagination of

Kuleba, we will speak about it a little later, just wait dear reader). This article is full of useless phrase mongering about 'Soviet-US pacts' about NATO expansion, about 'emotional' and 'Rational' reasons e.t.c. The only paragraph which offers anything is the last one:

I welcome the renewed focus on Ukraine's NATO aspirations following President Zelenskyy's recent comments. Next time you hear somebody ask, "Why is Ukraine still not in NATO?", try to sidestep all the myths and approach the question rationally. In the final analysis, the only reasonable response is that Ukraine should indeed be granted a MAP and will eventually join the alliance. This is not neuroscience.

The minister of foreign affairs is directly telling to the Americans 'Give us the MAP to open our path to NATO integration'. As we said, will speak later about why Ukraine is not yet in NATO, if it will 'benefit' the entire NATO to have Ukraine inside of it, give a proper answer to Zelenskyy's question, and a proper rebuttal on Kuleba's 'reasonable's response'. For now let's focus on some other articles of the Atlantic council and the other mouthpieces of imperialism. A recent article [10] published by the Atlantic council which is a collection of many interviews by various fascist ideologists includes some very direct 'advises' to the American and Ukrainian government, but the most important part is the following:

The United States should keep doing what it's doing, and do more of it. Late last week, President Biden pledged "unwavering support" for Ukraine in the face of Russian aggression. Biden and his team spent much of the week on the phone reassuring the Ukrainians that Washington has their back. But that's not enough. Washington needs to tell Moscow that if it doesn't leave the Donbas in six months, the United States will levy more sanctions

and begin visa bans on top Russian officials. All options should be on the table, and the State Department and National Security Council should start gaming out options.

Today, not tomorrow, Biden needs to appoint a senior director for Russia at the National Security Council, and if the new team can't find a new face, call Dr. Celeste Wallander, who held the job under Obama. She's more than capable. There's enormous Russia expertise in Washington, and it's irresponsible to leave this crucial position empty now. The new administration also needs to insist that Washington have a seat at the table in any high-level negotiations trying to resolve the conflict.

'Keep doing more of what is doing', to 'appoint senior directors for Russia' e.t.c, is nothing more than a call to consolidate the imperialists in their war against the people of the world, it is nothing more than a reassurance that 'We, Americans, are still number one'. These people are ready to gamble millions of lives on a world war if it means to keep reaping superprofits and bribing their boiling populations. These are the people the 'left' is repeating. These are the people who spew the lines the average joe in america or northern Europe are repeating. Another article published in April 6 makes it even more explicit:

Reinforcing Ukraine's Air Force would be a powerful way for the Biden administration to signal that the US will no longer tolerate Russian mischief in Eastern Europe, and that there are hard and tangible long-term strategic costs Russia must bear for its malign conduct. [11]

The ideologist of American imperialism are telling to the President of America to flex some imperialist muscle. But the oppressed of the world have learnt. Nothing will stop them to achieve their liberation, and surely not a flexing of some calf

muscle. If the imperialists have calf muscle to flex, they have biceps, chest, and abs to flex against the imperialists. Not to go to the biggest imperialist news channels, DW, France24, CNN, BBC, they all are seething about 'Russian aggression', and how 'we should take measures against the aggression'. France24 published an article on 9 of April [12] telling to the world that according to Ukrainian army officials, 'Ukraine wont attack first'. By now we have proven why Ukraine is the one in need for an attack. One thing to note is what the official (Khomchak) said:

Ukraine is supported by the entire civilised world. We are not alone in the face of the enemy.

'The civilized world'. The 'human world'. *The imperialist world!* DW kept up the pace [13], BBC too [14], and obviously CNN [15]. If we kept quoting every imperialist mouthpiece the writing would never end, but by now we think we have made the point clear.

To conclude this small essay, the whole argument is about 'who is the aggressor'. From all perspectives, it is the imperialists and their lackeys, the Ukrainian bourgeoisie government which are the aggressors. Crimean and Donbass are mainly populated by Russians, and therefore they would naturally want to join Russia, especially if the Ukrainian government is a compradorist government, comprador to someone who sees Russia as one of the biggest threats to their power. From an anti-imperialist perspective, it again is right for one to be on the

side of the separatists. The Ukrainian government is a comprador-fascist government, which bows to international finance capital like *the pattyrollers bowed to the Plantation owners*, and the slaves are the proletariat to be kept in order for Finance capital to plunder them without a disturbance.

We have already established why the Russians have nothing to gain from a renewal of the conflict, and why only the Ukrainian bourgeoisie have to win. Every conscious anti imperialist therefore, from all perspectives, should at the very least defend the national self-determination of the separatists, and defend the Russian Federation against imperialism. A possible weakening of the Russian federation would mean a huge blow to our struggle, both proletarian communist struggle and the national-bourgeoisie anti-imperialist struggle to whom we are allied to. On one hand, Syria, Iran, and other of our allies would be left with much less defence potentiality, and on the other our actual proletariat vanguard, Cuba, DPRK, China e.t.c would also be left with the biggest of their national-bourgeoisie allies defeated. Would our vanguard be able to keep the rest of our allies like Syria in line with anti-imperialism? Even then, would Syrian communists win against a potential color revolution? We can't know. It is better if the communists have their time to gather forces and then launch the attack, than have the compradorship on their back without being prepared. The war and anti-imperialist struggle forced the communists to ally with their national bourgeoisie, and this inevitable alliance (especially in places like Syria) *has integrated them too much* on the general people's front composed of multiple national classes against foreign aggression. We need to defend this front to give the proletarian forces space to effectively organize and prepare themselves for an eventual revolution, *when and if* the bourgeoisie will make a mistake which would deem them useless, traitorous and obsolete as a class in the mind of the

general population. For this day to come, the communists should be in the forefront of anti-imperialism, and this means alliance right now, *not unity*, but alliance on some specific issues.

What is left to conclude *is a direct message* to Ukrainian anti-imperialists and *real nationalists*, which is directly linked with the question on 'Why is Ukraine not in NATO?'. The response to Mr. Kuleba is directly linked *to the future of the Ukrainian nation in the incoming global war*.

Mr. Kuleba gave a 'reasonable response' to Zelensky's question on 'why is Ukraine not in NATO'.

In his mind - Kuleba's -, it is the only 'reasonable response'. Indeed, *it would be* the most reasonable response *if* the imperialists were strong enough to effectively combat the people of the world without feeling tremor in their chairs.

But this is not the case.

The imperialists understand perfectly that putting Ukraine officially in NATO would mean very high probabilities for a world war, which they would lose, and even worse, a big part of the world would turn communist, *and we don't speak about imperialized countries only*, but also countries of the *imperialist periphery* like Italy, Spain, Greece, e.t.c countries where the labour aristocracy *is slowly but steadily declining*, countries that are not given enough part of the pie to keep feeding the majority of the working class and therefore effectively keep them in an alliance with their imperialist bourgeoisie for long. It would even trigger a national revolt in the US itself in an event of defeat. [16]

This is the real 'reasonable response', the *real reason* the

Imperialists are afraid to give Ukraine full NATO membership. *Their reluctance is a proof of their weakness*, since indeed, all things considered, if the imperialists were as strong as they want to make people think through their hollywood garbage movies of James bond style american superiority, Ukraine would be a member of NATO already and the Russians of Ukraine would never achieve to join Russia so easily.

This is the real answer to your question Mr. Zelensky. Someone must tell you that *you doomed your own nation with extermination*. Russia is not ruled by the revolutionary proletariat, who would probably respect the national question enough to allow and even push forward the Ukrainian nation's survival. They will push a Race-nation line once they win the world war - if this war happens - and Ukrainians will be probably *Russified* as a 'punishment' - and for safety reasons - for the long servitude to the imperialists they have showed for so many years. History has proven that *real nationalists* dont ally with imperialists, but with anti-imperialist movements. *Real nationalists are communists*, since only communists can save their nation from the scourge that is the cosmopolitan by nature class of the bourgeoisie, only communism can push forward a nation. The Ukrainian 'Nationalists' sided with the dying empire, and by doing this they are currently signing the death sentence of Ukraine as a nation. But the signing is not yet complete; it is going with slow pace; there are some few letters remained to be written for the signing to be complete. The Ukrainian people (and the Ukrainian nationalists) must realize the only path to save their nation is to cut ties with imperialism, and a first act for this would be a rebellion against their compradorist government.

The day that Ukraine enters NATO, and thus signs its sentence

as a nation, would be the darkest day of Ukraine. Ukraine is not Albania or Italy, countries *away from the front lines*. These countries, depending on what their proletariat will do during the incoming war, will have time to maneuver in an effective way to avoid the worst for their people. But Ukraine? Ukraine being in the front lines would be the first to be destroyed by the incoming, angry oppressed people who would see them as their Pattyroller.

The Ukrainian working class needs to make a social-economical calculation.

They need to understand that they wont win from further compradorship.

Lets leave the phrase mongering aside for a moment, the usual communist phrase mongering we use in our propaganda (and even included here in this essay) and lets head directly to the Ukrainian worker who is smart enough to understand our argument. There is no future for the Ukrainian nation - at least not a bright immediate future - as long as there is compradorship. NATO is not a bodyguard, but a grave digger. The protection they will give for a decade is nothing in front of the death - or the near-death - of the Ukrainian nation if it choses to seal its death by puting a gamble on taking part in the planned imperialist plunder of the east and south. There is no way NATO and imperialism will win, and even if you dont think that communism is possible in the immediate future, at least see the future of your nation as a nationalist, patrior or whatever word you are using. It has no future with the west, and it only bring to Ukraine the death of its national identity. We Albanians have ways to maneuver, we arent in the front line. If we dont get the message when war knocks our door, we will just suffer the same fate. But we have some time, at least more than you, Ukrainian nationalistic-minded worker. The war is not on our door, is not even in our neighborhood. But

the war *is* in your neighborhood, and it heads straight to your door. Do you want the imperialized people of the world, when they rise up, to pull up a Dessalines against their pattyrollers - which is what they will see in your nation. Pattyrollers! - and plantation owners to your people and nation? What kind of patriot wants that? Only the fake one who cares about nothing more than his personal gain and uses the national identity *for the manipulation of the nationalistic-minded workers*.

This is the way of the bourgeoisie, not of the proletariat who has no interest in capitalism, and even more, in imperialist wars and compradorship. Rise up Ukrainian proletariat, and you may turn up from be seen as a pattyroller to a fire keeper of freedom and revolution. And this is not phrase mongering, but the honest, bitter truth. Bitter, but truth nonetheless, for sure more usefull than sweet lies.

F. U . Kuqe

April 12-2021

REFERENCES:

- 1 <https://ukraina.ru/news/20210330/1030997424.html>
- 2 <https://www.mk.ru/politics/2021/04/02/ukraina-zayavila-o-podgotovke-nato-k-vozmozhnoy-voyne-s-rossiey.html>
- 3 <https://www.ukrinform.net/rubric-polytics/3220310-stefanishyna-ukraine-should-be-granted-eu-candidate-country-status.html>
- 4 <https://www.ukrinform.net/rubric-polytics/3219971-joint-exercises-with-nato-will-help-improve-situation-in-eastern-ukraine-presidents-office.html>
- 5 <https://www.aa.com.tr/en/americas/biden-vows-us-backing-for-ukraine-as-russia-amasses/2196552>

- 6 <https://www.dw.com/en/germany-france-condemn-ukraine-escalation-call-for-restraint/a-57095476>
- 7 <https://www.unian.info/politics/khomchak-ukraine-becoming-nato-member-to-benefit-alliance-11376310.html>
- 8
https://np.reddit.com/r/EuropeanSocialists/comments/mly8pt/the_longer_telegram_the_unhinged_dreams_of_a/
- 9 <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/ukrainealert/why-is-ukraine-still-not-in-nato/>
- 10 <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/ukrainealert/is-putin-about-to-launch-a-new-offensive-in-ukraine/>
- 11 <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/ukrainealert/upgrading-ukraines-air-force-could-deter-russia/>
- 12 <https://www.france24.com/en/europe/20210409-kiev-says-it-won-t-attack-pro-russian-separatists>
- 13 <https://www.dw.com/en/eastern-ukraine-saber-rattling-between-moscow-and-kyiv/g-57151070>
- 14 <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-56678665>
- 15 <https://edition.cnn.com/2021/04/09/europe/russian-forces-ukraine-border-analysis-intl/index.html>
- 16 https://ia801700.us.archive.org/33/items/the-us-capitol-insurrection-f.-u.-kuge_202101/The%20US%20capitol%20Insurrection%20F.U.%20Kuge.pdf